

Application Serial No. 10/625,287
Reply to Office Action of November 28, 2005

PATENT
Docket: CU-3301

Amendments To The Drawings

The attached sheets of drawings implement changes to FIGS. 3-9.

FIG. 4 has been amended to show the ground at the node of R1 and C1. FIGS. 5 and 7-9 have been amended to correct the spelling of "V_sync". Since the changes made in FIGS. 4-5 and 7-9 are easily identifiable, annotated sheets showing the changes to FIGS. 3 and 6 are attached hereto.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets of FIGS. 3-9.

Annotated Sheets Showing Changes to FIGS. 3 and 6.

Application Serial No. 10/625,287
Reply to Office Action of November 28, 2005

PATENT
Docket: CU-3301

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-10 are pending before the present amendment. By the present amendment, claims 1-6 have been amended. No new matter has been added.

In the office action, the Examiner objected to FIGS. 3, 4 and 6 of the above-identified application as having various informalities identified on page 2 of the Office Action. In response, FIGS. 3-4 and 6 have been appropriately amended according to the disclosure of the present invention without adding new matter. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

In the office action, claims 1-10 were objected to because of the term "second vertical starting signal." Instead of changing "second" to "first" as suggested by the Examiner, the word "second" has been deleted from each instance where it was used with the term "vertical starting signal." The claims therefore no longer use the limitation objected to by the Examiner but they are still supported by the specification text and figures.


As for claim 2, it is respectfully submitted that the originally filed claim 2 is a part of the original disclosure of the present invention. That is, the original disclosure includes the written description of the invention, the drawings, and the claims, all of which were originally filed. That is, the present invention also teaches the feature of driving the address interval at 85 Hz even when the refresh rate is 60 Hz. The applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the objection to claim 2 at least since this feature was a part of the originally claimed invention.

Application Serial No. 10/625,287
Reply to Office Action of November 28, 2005

PATENT
Docket: CU-3301

For the reasons set forth above, the Applicant asks that the claims be allowed to issue.


Respectfully submitted,



Dated: March 28, 2005

W. William Park, Reg. No. 55,523
Ladas & Parry
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300

FIG.3 BEST AVAILABLE COPY



5 / 7

FIG. 6
BEST AVAILABLE COPY